

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,646	11/21/2003	Roland Leyerer	MERTE.Y3-13	1259	
28752 75	90 11/16/2006		EXAMINER		
LACKENBACH SIEGEL, LLP LACKENBACH SIEGEL BUILDING			CABRERA, ZOILA E		
1 CHASE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCARSDALE,	NY 10583		2125	2125	
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/16/2000	DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A - 41 - 12 Commence	10/719,646	LEYERER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Zoila E. Cabrera	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Au	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2006.					
<u> </u>						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/6/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection

1. Claims 1, 7-17 are pending in the application.

The rejection with respect to claims 1, 7-17 is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claims 1, 7, 14, recites "externally determined predisposition", this limitation is not disclosed at all in the specification (Note that in the arguments applicant argues by the phrase "patient's/therapeutic predisposition" applicant means flatfoot, splayfoot, clubfoot. However, nowhere in the specification it is stated that by the phrase "patient's/therapeutic predisposition" applicant means flatfoot, splayfoot, clubfoot. This

Application/Control Number: 10/719,646

Art Unit: 2125

definition has just been introduced in the arguments and it is not part of the original specification.).

Claim 7 further recites "said scanned image to be manipulated **according to a**patient's neurological therapy". There is no antecedent basis in the specification for such limitations.

3. Claims 1, 7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 7, and 14 recites "in accordance with patient's externally determined predisposition". Claim 14, further recites "a therapeutic predisposition of said patient". Please note that the specification does not provide a definition for "the patient's externally determined predisposition".

Claims 11-12, recite "reflect proceptor meaning", please note that it is not understood what it is meant by such limitation. Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed August 23, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that the phrase "patient's/therapeutic predisposition" is introduced in the specification involving (page 4 first and second para.) by the use of "patient" medical disorders including flatfoot, splayfoot, clubfoot,... as well as the

Page 4

treatment (a/k/a therapeutic action) of "body balance" by placement by a trained medical professional to achieve the treatment. However, Examiner disagrees because in said paragraph there is no relationship between flatfoot, splayfoot, clubfoot with the claimed limitations "externally determined predisposition", please note that there is no antecedent basis for the claimed limitation "externally determined predisposition".

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,646 Page 5

Art Unit: 2125

Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Zoila Cabrera, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3738. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EST (every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. Additionally, the fax phones for Art Unit 2125 are (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Zoila Cabrera Primary Examiner 11/10/06

ZOILA CABRERA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

11/10/06